

**REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
CITIZENS MULTIMODAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
MEETING MINUTES
WEDNESDAY APRIL 1, 2026**

CMAC MEMBERS PRESENT

Ryan Bernadette, Chair
Kathie Stanfield
Juan Martinez

Panah Stauffer, Vice Chair
Kelly Orr
Sue-Ting Chene

CMAC MEMBERS ABSENT

Damien Cole
Michael Lansborough

Sierra Henderson

RTC STAFF

Marquis Williams
Graham Dollarhide
Lolita Davis

Vanessa Lacer
Shay League

CMAC GUESTS

Paul Malikowski
Dora Martinez

Member of the Public
Member of the Public

1. ROLL CALL/CALL TO ORDER

The Citizens Multimodal Advisory Committee (CMAC) meeting was conducted as a Zoom Meeting. The meeting was called to order at 5:30 p.m. by Marquis Williams.

2. VERBAL INSTRUCTIONS REQUIRED BY ASSEMBLY BILL 219 (2023)

RTC staff read the instructions required for participating via Zoom and participating via telephone.

3. PUBLIC COMMENT

Paul Malikowski addressed the Move More with RTC 5-year plan and McCarran safety and operational improvements. He focused on a service gap in the southwest quadrant of the McCarran Loop, specifically from West 4th Street to Kietzke Lane. He noted that RTC has successfully provided FlexRide services in areas like Somerset and Verdi, and questions why the 89519 area is excluded from similar service despite paying the same taxes. He emphasized

the importance of accessibility for seniors, who struggle with steep grades in the southwest loop area. He requested that FlexRide be used to connect this quadrant and insisted on the inclusion of ADA-compliant boarding pads in the McCarran construction plans. He also asked the committee to direct staff to establish a Southwest FlexRide pilot zone in the 2026 recommendations, addressing major gaps in transit.

4. APPROVE THE March 4, 2026, MEETING MINUTES *(For Possible Action)*

The CMAC March 4, 2026, meeting minutes were tabled for a future meeting to allow for corrections.

5. INFORMATION AND DISCUSSION ITEMS.

ITEM 5.1: RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE REVISED CMAC POLICIES AND PROCEDURES. *(For Possible Action)*

Vanessa Lacer, RTC Planning Director, gave a presentation on the revised CMAC Policies and Procedures.

Sue-Ting asked for clarification on whether no quorum means no meeting with the revised policies. Vanessa Lacer confirmed a quorum is needed for decision-making on action items, but informational items can be presented even without a quorum, with action items postponed until a quorum is available.

Sue-Ting then asked how vacancies for transit employees are managed, specifically concerning their attendance at meetings and the process for dealing with representatives who miss meetings and how their voices will be heard if they cannot attend. Vanessa Lacer explained that the new change applies only to two specific transit employee members from Keolis, nominated by union representatives. The public transit director is responsible for ensuring those members can attend, and if they do not, they may seek replacements. However, if a member has missed three meetings, they are still allowed to vote.

Panah asked about the differences between area studies and corridor studies, particularly in the context of the Northeast Connector Feasibility Study. Vanessa Lacer explained that the list of plans includes four specific ones mentioned in the public participation plan: three required reviews and one recommended. She indicated that the area corridors and studies serve as a general category and do not refer to a specific plan. Vanessa highlighted the importance of having the right reviewers for each plan, with subject matter experts providing input relevant to their areas of expertise

She noted that previously, two committees reviewed the same plans, but a more efficient approach was developed to cater to their different expertise. The Citizens Multimodal Advisory Committee (CMAC) focuses on input related to bicycles, pedestrians, and transit experiences—how plans would function in the real world. In contrast, the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) examines plans from a more scientific perspective, considering agency responses and compliance with regulations

Regarding the Northeast Connector, because it lacks a multimodal element, it was not presented to the CMAC. However, a rail study will be shared with the committee in May. Panah further inquired about area and corridor studies. Vanessa clarified that area studies look at specific neighborhoods, while corridor studies focus on roadways, such as examining a segment of Rock Boulevard. The Northeast Corridor Feasibility Study, being a prospective highway expansion, wouldn't be discussed until it potentially includes a multimodal aspect after construction. Vanessa agreed that if "corridor" was used in that context, it might not align with their current definitions.

Kelly Orr made a motion to recommend approval of the revised CMAC Policies and Procedures.

Panah Stauffer seconded.

The motion carried unanimously.

6. RTC STAFF ANNOUNCEMENTS

Marquis Williams, RTC Sr. Transportation Planner, announced an upcoming presentation next month featuring Jim Gee, the Director of Public Transit, who will discuss the rail study

Shay League, RTC Sr. Transportation Planner, announced that there is a public comment period open for a Rock Boulevard corridor study. This study will return to the group in a few months after the comment period, with more information about potential routes.

Survey: <https://arcg.is/0GCPrS1>

7. PUBLIC COMMENT

Juan Martinez asked when will a response be given to Paul Malikowski request presented during the first public comment. Marquis Williams, RTC Sr. Planner, stated meeting minutes are distributed to all directors, including Jim Gee and Alex Cruz, who oversee the Move More with RTC study. He confirmed that they forwarded last month's comments to the project team and will ensure they are aware of the recent comment as well.

8. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 6:07 p.m.